

# Study of the hydrolytic acidification-SBR process in aquatic products processing wastewater treatment

Ye Shengquan<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Wu Hui<sup>b</sup>, Zhang Chaohua<sup>a</sup>, Qin Xiaoming<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Food Science and Technology, Guangdong Ocean University, P.O. Box 302,  
Hai Ning Road, No. 67, Xiashan, Zhanjiang, Guangdong 524005, China

Tel. +86 759 2669688; Fax +86 759 2106816; email: yeshengq@163.com, yesq@gdou.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup>College of Light Industry and Food, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China  
email: fehwu@scut.edu.cn

Received 14 December 2006; accepted 3 January 2007

## Abstract

A new method of hydrolytic acidification-sequencing batch reactor (SBR) process in aquatic products processing wastewater treatment is given. In the stage of the pretreatment, the hydrolysis-acidification technology was adopted, it is effective to improve the biodegradability of the wastewater. The SBR method was used in the stage of biochemical treatment.

The experimental results show that the quality of aquatic products processing wastewater can attain to the national requirements of the first grade of integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB 8978 - 1996). When wastewater temperature was 20°C, HRT was over 6 h and influent COD, BOD<sub>5</sub> and SS was 1100–1650 mg/L, 500–750 mg/L and 250–400 mg/L respectively, with more 95%, 96% and 92% of average removal rate respectively, the average effluent COD, BOD<sub>5</sub> and SS was 55.5 mg/L, 19.8 mg/L and 20.0 mg/L. The largest organic loading was 4.1 kg COD/m<sup>3</sup> d. The BOD<sub>5</sub>/COD value of aquatic product processing wastewater can be raised from 0.50 to 0.64 via hydrolytic acidification treatment.

**Keywords:** Wastewater treatment; Hydrolytic acidification; SBR; Aquatic products processing wastewater

## 1. Introduction

The aquatic products processing industry, like most other agro-industries, generates strong wastewaters characterized by high biological oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand

(COD) concentrations representing their high organic content [1]. Furthermore, the aquatic products processing industry is one of the largest sources of industrial effluents in Zhanjiang. A typical Zhanjiang aquatic products processing generates approximately 600 m<sup>3</sup> of waste effluent daily [2]. Aquatic products processing waste effluents are concentrated in nature, and the main

\*Corresponding author.

Presented at the conference on Desalination and the Environment. Sponsored by the European Desalination Society and Center for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH), Sani Resort, Halkidiki, Greece, April 22–25, 2007.

contributors of organic load to these effluents are proteins and lipids originating from the fish [3,4]. Since aquatic products processing waste streams contain high concentrations of organic matter, these effluents may cause serious problems, in terms of organic load on the local municipal sewage treatment systems [3]. In addition to environmental problems that can result from discharge of aquatic products processing wastewater. Most of the wastewater volume generated in the aquatic products processing industry results from cleaning of transport lines and equipment between production cycles, cleaning of fish, washing of fish and equipment malfunctions or operational errors [4,5]. Aquatic products processing wastewater are treated using physical–chemical and biological treatment methods. However, since the reagent costs are high and the soluble COD removal is poor in physical–chemical treatment processes, biological processes are usually preferred [6]. Among biological treatment processes, treatment in ponds, activated sludge plants and anaerobic treatment are commonly employed for aquatic products processing wastewater treatment [7]. In the contrary, high energy requirements of aerobic treatment plants is a significant drawback of these processes. COD concentrations of aquatic products processing effluents vary significantly; moreover, aquatic products processing effluents are warm and strong, enabling them ideal for anaerobic treatment [2]. Furthermore, no requirement for aeration, low amount of excess sludge production and low area demand are additional advantages of anaerobic treatment processes, in comparison to aerobic processes. The aim of this paper is to summarize the recent research efforts and case studies in SBR treatment of aquatic products processing waste effluents. In the paper, the general characteristics of aquatic products processing waste streams are identified and the hydrolytic acidification-sequencing batch reactor (SBR) degradation mechanisms of the main constituents of aquatic products processing wastewater [8], namely proteins and lipids, are

explained. Hydrolytic acidification-SBR treatment practices of aquatic products processing wastewater. Combined (hydrolytic acidification-SBR) treatment systems for aquatic products processing wastewater are also summarized briefly. Finally, areas where particular research and more attention required in the near future are identified.

## **2. General characteristics of aquatic products processing wastewater**

Wastewaters from the aquatic products processing industry are usually generated in an intermittent way, so the flow rates of these effluents change significantly. High seasonal variations are also encountered frequently and correlate with the volume of aquatic products received for processing; which is typically high in summer and low in winter months [9]. Moreover, since the aquatic products industry produces different products, such as fish, shellfish and shrimp, the characteristics of these effluents also vary greatly, depending on the type of system and the methods of operation used [6]. The use of acid and alkaline cleaners and sanitizers in the aquatic products industry additionally influences wastewater characteristics and typically results in a highly variable pH [4,5,10]. Actually, information about the general characteristics of aquatic products wastewaters from full-scale operations in literature is scarce. Only one comprehensive study has been encountered, which provides extensive information about the particular characteristics of aquatic products wastewaters from various full-scale operations [5]. High COD concentrations indicate that aquatic products industry wastewaters are strong and fluctuating in nature. Significant fractions of the organic components and nutrients in aquatic products waste streams are derived from aquatic products. In industrial aquatic products wastewaters, nitrogen originates mainly from fish proteins, and is present in various forms; either an organic nitrogen (proteins, urea, nucleic acids), or as ions such as  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$

Table 1  
Influent characteristics

Parameter	COD <sub>cr</sub> (mg/L)	BOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L)	SS (mg/L)	Temperature (°C)	pH
Value	1100–1650	500–750	250–400	20–25	6–9

and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Phosphorus is found mainly in inorganic forms; as orthophosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>) and polyphosphate (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>4-</sup>), as well as organic forms [11–13]. Concentrations of suspended solids (SS) and volatile suspended solids (VSS) are also used to evaluate wastewater strength and treat ability [5]. The influent characteristics are listed in Table 1.

### 3. Hydrolytic acidification-SBR treatment of aquatic products processing wastewater

#### 3.1. COD removal

The influent COD removal rate is over 46% in the stage of hydrolytic acidification treatment. When wastewater temperature was 20°C, HRT was

over 6 h and influent COD was 1100–1650 mg/L with more 95% of average removal rate, the average effluent COD was below 55.5 mg/L. The largest organic loading was 4.1 kg COD/m<sup>3</sup> d. The treatment efficiency is shown in Table 2.

#### 3.2. BOD<sub>5</sub> removal

The influent BOD<sub>5</sub> removal rate is over 35% in the stage of hydrolytic acidification treatment. When wastewater temperature was 20°C, HRT was over 6 h and influent BOD<sub>5</sub> was 500–750 mg/L with more 96% of average removal rate, the average effluent BOD<sub>5</sub> was 19.76 mg/L. The influent BOD<sub>5</sub> can be reduced below 60 mg/L. The treatment efficiency is shown in Table 3.

Table 2  
Hydrolytic acidification-SBR treatment COD removal effect

Total HRT (h)	3	6	9	12	15
COD (mg/L)					
Hydrolytic influent	1106	1215	1675	1124	1158
Hydrolytic effluent	595	628.2	837.5	538.4	529.2
SBR effluent	50.9	60.2	72.0	50.0	44.0
Hydrolytic removal (%)	46.2	48.3	50	52.1	54.3
Total removal (%)	95.4	95.1	95.7	96.0	96.2

Table 3  
Hydrolytic acidification-SBR treatment BOD<sub>5</sub> removal effect

Total HRT (h)	3	6	9	12	15
BOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L)					
Hydrolytic influent	680.7	625.6	756.5	586.8	501.9
Hydrolytic effluent	435.6	397.3	472.8	355.6	294.6
SBR effluent	25.9	20.0	20.4	20.5	12.0
Hydrolytic removal (%)	36.0	36.5	37.5	39.4	41.3
Total removal (%)	96.2	96.8	97.3	96.5	97.6

Table 4  
Suspended solids (SS) removal effect

Total HRT (h)	3	6	9	12	15
Suspended solids (mg/L)					
Hydrolytic influent	275	340	395	299	248
Hydrolytic effluent	126.5	158.1	184.9	134.8	109.9
SBR effluent	29.4	30.6	22.1	8.4	9.4
Hydrolytic removal (%)	54.0	53.5	53.2	54.9	55.7
Total removal (%)	89.3	91	94.4	97.2	96.2

### 3.3. Suspended solids (SS) removal

The influent SS removal rate is over 53% in the stage of hydrolytic acidification treatment. When wastewater temperature was 20°C, HRT was over 6 h and influent SS was 250–400 mg/L with more 92% of average removal rate, the average effluent SS was 19.98 mg/L. The treatment efficiency is shown in Table 4.

## 4. Conclusion

The experimental results show that the quality of aquatic products processing wastewater can attain to the national requirements of the first grade of integrated wastewater discharge standard (GB 8978 - 1996). When wastewater temperature was 20°C, HRT was over 6 h and influent COD, BOD<sub>5</sub> and SS was 1100–1650 mg/L, 500–750 mg/L and 250–400 mg/L respectively, with more 95%, 96% and 92% of average removal rate respectively, the average effluent COD, BOD<sub>5</sub> and SS was 55.5 mg/L, 19.8 mg/L and 20.0 mg/L. The largest organic loading was 4.1 kg COD/m<sup>3</sup> d. The BOD<sub>5</sub>/COD value of aquatic product processing wastewater can be raised from 0.50 to 0.64 via hydrolytic acidification treatment.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Guangdong Province Science and Technology Plan

Item Foundation of China, Guangdong Ocean University Science Foundation and Zhanjiang City Science Foundation (2003C70220, 200510001, 2006C10007). The authors are grateful to Lecturer Shaobo Hou (also a doctor student in Northwestern Polytechnical University, China) for his support.

## References

- [1] D. Orhon, E. Gorgun, F. Germirli and N. Artan, Biological treatability of dairy wastewaters, *Water Res.*, 27 (1993) 625–633.
- [2] A. Wheatley, *Anaerobic digestion: a waste treatment technology*, Elsevier Applied Science, London and New York, 1990.
- [3] M. Perle, S. Kimchie and G. Shelef, Some biochemical aspects of the anaerobic degradation of dairy wastewater, *Water Res.*, 29 (1995) 1549–1554.
- [4] B. Kasapgil, G.K. Anderson and O. Ince, An investigation into the pre-treatment of dairy wastewater prior to aerobic biological treatment, *Water Sci. Technol.*, 29 (1994) 205–212.
- [5] J.R. Danalewich, T.G. Papagiannis, R.L. Belyea, M.E. Tumbleson and L. Raskin, Characterization of dairy waste streams, current treatment practices, and potential for biological nutrient removal, *Water Res.*, 32 (1998) 3555–3568.
- [6] G. Vidal, A. Carvalho, R. Mendez and J.M. Lema, Influence of the content in fats and proteins on the anaerobic biodegradability of dairy wastewaters, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 74 (2000) 231–239.
- [7] D.I. Bangsbo-Hansen, Treatment of dairy wastewater in the developing countries — the Danish experience, *Ind. Environ.*, 8 (1985) 10–12.

- [8] K. Baskaran, L.M. Palmowski and B.M. Watson, Wastewater reuse and treatment options for the dairy industry, *Water Sci. Technol.*, 3 (2003) 85–91.
- [9] R. Kolarski and G. Nyhuis, The use of sequencing batch reactor technology for the treatment of high strength dairy processing waste, Proceedings of the 50th Purdue international waste conference, West Lafayette, Indiana, 1995, pp. 485–494.
- [10] B. Demirel and O. Yenigun, Acidogenesis in anaerobic treatment of dairy wastewater, Proceedings of Asian Waterqual2003-IWA Asia-Pacific regional conference, Bangkok, Thailand, 2003, p. 6.
- [11] E. Guillen-Jimenez, P. Alvarez-Mateos, F. Romero-Guzman and J. Pereda-Martin, Bio-mineralization of organic matter as affected by pH. The evolution of ammonium and phosphates, *Water Res.*, 34 (2000) 1215–1224.
- [12] P. Sauvegrain, et al., Anaerobic biofiltration versus aerobic membrane filtration comparison on a difficult substrate, *Water Sci. Tech.*, 25 (10) (1992) 211–218.
- [13] Shengquan Ye and Fu Huang, Separation of carcinogenic aromatic amines in the dyestuff plant wastewater treatment, *Desalination*, 206 (2007) 78–85.